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INTRODUCTION

Rationale

For decades, the mainstream view has been that an elevated level of total cholesterol (TC) is a primary cause of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD). There are several contradictions to this view, however. No study of unselected people has found an association between TC and degree of atherosclerosis. Moreover, in most of the Japanese epidemiological studies, high TC is not a risk factor for stroke, and further, there is an inverse association between TC and all-cause mortality, irrespective of age and sex.

In a recent meta-analysis performed by the Prospective Studies Collaboration, there was...
an association between TC and CV mortality in all ages and in both sexes. However, even in this analysis, the risk decreased with increasing age and became minimal after the age of 80 years. Since atherosclerosis and CVD are mainly diseases of the elderly, the cholesterol hypothesis predicts that the association between CV mortality and TC should be at least as strong in the elderly as in young people. There may be a confounding influence in these studies, however, because TC includes high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and multiple studies have shown that a high level of HDL-C is associated with a lower risk of CVD.

Objectives
We examined the literature assessing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) as a risk factor for mortality in elderly people. Since the definition of CVD varies considerably in the scientific literature, we have chosen to focus on the association between LDL-C and all-cause and CVD mortality, because mortality has the least risk of bias among all outcome measures. If Goldstein and Brown’s recent statement that LDL-C is ‘the essential causative agent’ of CVD is correct, then we should find that LDL-C is a strong risk factor for mortality in elderly people.

METHODS
Search strategy
UR and RS searched PubMed independently from initial to 17 December 2015. The following keywords were used: ‘lipoprotein AND (old OR elderly) AND mortality NOT animal NOT trial’. We also retrieved the references in the publications so as not to miss any relevant studies. The search was limited to studies in English.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
All included studies should meet the following criteria: the study should be a cohort study of people aged 60 years or older selected randomly from the general population, or a study where the authors had found no significant differences between the participants and the source population’s demographic characteristics. The studies should include an initial assessment of LDL-C levels, the length of the observation time and information about all-cause and/or cardiovascular mortality at the end of follow-up. The studies should also include information about the association between LDL-C and all-cause and/or CVD mortality. We excluded studies that did not represent the general population (eg, case-control studies; case reports; studies that included patients only); studies where data about elderly people were not given separately; and studies without multivariate correction for the association between LDL-C and all-cause and/or CV mortality. We accepted studies where the authors had excluded patients with serious diseases or individuals who had died during the first year.

Study selection, data items and extraction
Studies where the title or abstract indicated that they might include LDL-C data of elderly people, were read in full, and the relevant data were extracted by at least three of the authors, for example, year of publication, total number of participants, sex, length of observation time, exclusion criteria, LDL-C measured at the start and the association between initial LDL-C and risk of all-cause and/or CV mortality at follow-up. When more than one adjusted HR was reported, the HR with the most fully adjusted model was selected.

Quality assessment
The design of the study satisfies almost all points of reliability and validity according to the Newcastle Ottawa Scale as regards selection, comparability and exposure. Thus, all studies represented elderly people only; ascertainment of exposure (eg, measurement of LDL-C) was present in all studies, and outcome was unknown at the start. It can be questioned if all of the studies represented the general population because, as shown below, in some of them various types of disease groups were excluded.

RESULTS
Study selection
Our search gave 2894 hits. We excluded 160 studies, which were not in English, and 2452 studies because, judged from the abstract, it was obvious that they were irrelevant.

The rest of the papers were read in full; 263 of these studies were excluded for the following reasons: (1) the participants did not represent the general population; (2) LDL-C was not measured at the start; (3) follow-up information was not given for the elderly separately; or (4) no information was present about mortality during the observation period (figure 1). One of the studies was excluded because it included the same individuals as in a previous study.

Study characteristics
The remaining 19 studies including 30 cohorts with a total of 68 094 participants met the inclusion criteria (figure 1). All-cause mortality was recorded in 28 cohorts. In 16 of these cohorts (representing 92% of the individuals), the association was inverse and with statistical significance in 14; in 1 of the cohorts, the association was mirror-J-formed with the lowest risk in the highest quartile; in the rest of the papers, no association was found. CV mortality was recorded in nine cohorts; in one of them, the association was almost U-shaped with the lowest risk in the highest quartile (curvilinear fit: p=0.001); in one of them, the association was mirror-J-formed and also with the lowest risk in the highest quartile (curvilinear fit: p=0.03); in the other seven cohorts, no association was found (table 1).
Risk of bias across studies

One explanation for the increased risk of mortality among people with low cholesterol is that serious diseases may lower cholesterol soon before death occurs. Evidence to support this hypothesis may be obtained from 10 of the studies in which no exclusions were made for individuals with terminal illnesses. However, in four of the studies, participants with a terminal illness or who had died during the first observation year were excluded. In one of those studies, LDL-C was not associated with all-cause mortality; in the three others, which included more than 70% of the total number of participants in our review, LDL-C was inversely associated with all-cause mortality and with statistical significance. Thus, there is little support for the hypothesis that our analysis is biased by end of life changes in LDL-C levels.

It is also potentially relevant that all studies did not correct for the same risk factors, and some of them did not inform the reader about which risk factors they corrected for. However, taking all studies together, 50 different risk factors were corrected for in the Cox analyses (table 2).

It is worth considering that some of the participants with high LDL-C may have started statin treatment during the observation period. Such treatment may have increased the lifespan for the group with high LDL-C. However, any beneficial effects of statins on mortality would have been minimal because most statin trials have had little effect on CVD and all-cause mortality, with a maximum reduction of mortality of two percentage points. It is therefore relevant that the 4-year mortality among those with the highest LDL-C in the included cohorts was up to 36% lower than among those with the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Race if indicated</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age; years</th>
<th>Obs. Years</th>
<th>All-cause mortality LDL-C tertiles or quartiles (HRs)</th>
<th>CV mortality</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zimetbaum et al⁷</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>75–85</td>
<td>6, 3</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>Terminal illness, dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kronmal et al⁸</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>66–75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Räihä et al⁹⁰</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Living in an institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fried et al⁹</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>5201</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Wheelchair user; cancer treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chyou and Eaker¹¹</td>
<td>M,F</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weverling-Rijnsburger et al¹²</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schupf et al¹³</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>2277</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mirror-J-formed association with the highest risk in the lowest quartile</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikhonoff et al¹⁴</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>11, 1</td>
<td>Mirror-J-formed association with the highest risk in the lowest quartile</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schupf et al¹³</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>11, 1</td>
<td>Mirror-J-formed association with the highest risk in the lowest quartile</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akerblom et al¹⁶</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Dementia, first year deaths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-Americans</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanics</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Åstrand et al¹⁷</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al¹⁸</td>
<td>M,F</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werie et al¹⁹</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>8, 7</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathum et al²⁰†</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13733</td>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Terminal disease, CVD, diabetes, patients with a prescription of statin during the last year before test date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linna et al²¹</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Inverse association**</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs et al²²</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>78–85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takata et al²³</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>85–90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lv et al²⁴</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>First year deaths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blekenhorst et al²⁵</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the study by Fried et al, degree of significance was not reported.
*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
†HR for 7415 men and 8314 women on statin treatment was 0.63 and 0.61, respectively.
F, females; M, males; NI, no information.
lowest LDL-C. Furthermore, in the largest study that included about two-thirds of the total number of participants in our study, the risk was lower among those with the highest LDL-C than among those on statin treatment.

It is also possible that those with the highest LDL-C were put on a different diet than those with low LDL-C. However, this potential bias in mortality outcomes could have gone in both directions. Some of the individuals with high LDL-C may have followed the dietary guidelines and exchanged saturated fat with vegetable oils rich in linoleic acid. In a recent study, dietary guidelines and exchanged saturated fat with vegetable oil replacing saturated fat may actually be counterproductive.

Finally, it is potentially relevant that we limited our literature search to PubMed. In preliminary searches with PubMed, OVID and EMBASE, we identified 17 relevant studies in PubMed, but only 2 in OVID and EMBASE, and these 2 studies were found in PubMed as well. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that there are studies with divergent results from those we have reported here, as all of them reported either no association or an inverse association between LDL-C and mortality.

DISCUSSION

Assessments of the association between serum cholesterol and mortality have been studied for decades, and extensive research has shown a weak association between total cholesterol and mortality in the elderly; several studies have even shown an inverse association. It is therefore surprising that there is an absence of a review of the literature on mortality and levels of LDL-C, which is routinely reported since the dietary intervention may have been counterproductive.

Table 2  Factors corrected for in the multifactorial analyses of each study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zimetbaum et al</td>
<td>Age, smoking, health self-rating, BMI, BP, diabetes, MI and IQ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kronmal et al</td>
<td>Age, sex, BP, BMI, BMI squared, smoking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Räihä et al</td>
<td>Race, height, hip and waist circumference, BMI, smoking, diastolic BP, antihypertensive and lipid-lowering treatment, TC, HDL-C, TG, diabetes, fasting insulin, factor VII and VIII, serum potassium and uric acid, asthma, emphysema, angina, MI, stroke, claudication, arthritis, renal disease, cancer, hearing and visual impairment, FEV, mitral stenosis and regurgitation, carotid stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fried et al</td>
<td>Age, sex, smoking, alcohol use, BMI, CHD, BP and diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chyou and Eaker</td>
<td>Age, sex, CHD, stroke, cancer, diabetes, BP, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaverling-Rijnsburger et al</td>
<td>Comorbidities, BMI, use of β-blocking agents, thyroid dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schupf et al</td>
<td>Age, sex, ethnic group, BMI, level of education, APOE genotype, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, cancer, smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikhonoff et al</td>
<td>Age, BP, pulse rate, BMI, CV events, smoking, alcohol intake, diabetes, serum creatinine and uric acid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Störk et al</td>
<td>Cox regression analysis. No details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akerblom et al</td>
<td>Age, sex, education, BMI, APOE genotype, heart disease, BP, diabetes, stroke, dementia, smoking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upmeier et al</td>
<td>Gender, BMI, smoking, angina pectoris, stroke, diabetes, hypertension, cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al</td>
<td>BMI, smoking, non-HDL-C, TG, BP, diabetes, previous MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werle et al</td>
<td>Factors that showed a trend for association in the univariate analyses, well-known risk factors for total mortality or cardiovascular mortality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathum et al</td>
<td>Cox regression analysis. No details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linna et al</td>
<td>Age, sex, BMI, smoking, BP, diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs et al</td>
<td>Statin treatment, sex, CHD, BP, neoplasm, self-rated health, smoking, albumin, BMI, triglycerides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takata et al</td>
<td>Sex, smoking, alcohol intake, stroke, heart disease, serum albumin, BMI, systolic BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lv et al 2015</td>
<td>Age, sex, marital status, smoking, alcohol drinking, tea drinking, central obesity, cognitive impairment, daily activity, blindness, anaemia, BT, diabetes, CKD, HDL-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blekkenhorst et al</td>
<td>Age, BMI, physical activity, renal function, smoking, diabetes, CVD, low-dose aspirin, antihypertensive and statin medication, energy intake, SFA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In studies not corrected for age, all participants were of the same age.

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CHD, coronary heart disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CRP, C reactive protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FEV, forced expiratory volume; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IMT, intima-media thickness; MI, myocardial infarction; SFA, superficial femoral artery; TG, triglycerides.
referred to as a causal agent in producing CVD \(^4\) and is a target of pharmacological treatment of CVD.

Our literature review has revealed either a lack of an association or an inverse association between LDL-C and mortality among people older than 60 years. In almost 80% of the total number of individuals, LDL-C was inversely associated with all-cause mortality and with statistical significance.

These findings provide a paradoxical contradiction to the cholesterol hypothesis. As atherosclerosis starts mainly in middle-aged people and becomes more pronounced with increasing age, the cholesterol hypothesis would predict that there should be a cumulative atherosclerotic burden, which would be expressed as greater CVD and all-cause mortality, in elderly people with high LDL-C levels.

Our results raise several relevant questions for future research. Why is high TC a risk factor for CVD in the young and middle-aged, but not in elderly people? Why does a subset of elderly people with high LDL-C live longer than people with low LDL-C? If high LDL-C is potentially beneficial for the elderly, then why does cholesterol-lowering treatment lower the risk of cardiovascular mortality? In the following we have tried to address some of these questions.

Inverse causation

A common argument to explain why low lipid values are associated with an increased mortality is inverse causation, meaning that serious diseases cause low cholesterol. However, this is not a likely explanation, because in five of the studies in table 1 terminal disease and mortality during the first years of observation were excluded. In spite of that, three of them showed that the highest mortality was seen among those with the lowest initial LDL-C with statistical significance.\(^{18,20,24}\)

Is high LDL-C beneficial?

One hypothesis to address the inverse association between LDL-C and mortality is that low LDL-C increases susceptibility to fatal diseases. Support for this hypothesis is provided by animal and laboratory experiments from more than a dozen research groups which have shown that LDL binds to and inactivates a broad range of microorganisms and their toxic products.\(^{27}\) Diseases caused or aggravated by microorganisms may therefore occur more often in people with low cholesterol, as observed in many studies.\(^{28}\) In a meta-analysis of 19 cohort studies, for instance, performed by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and including 68 406 deaths, TC was inversely associated with mortality from respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases, most of which are of an infectious origin.\(^{29}\) It is unlikely that these diseases caused the low TC, because the associations remained after the exclusion of deaths occurring during the first 5 years. In a study by Iribarren et al, more than 100 000 healthy individuals were followed for 15 years. At follow-up, those whose initial cholesterol level was lowest at the start had been hospitalised significantly more often because of an infectious disease that occurred later during the 15-year follow-up period.\(^{30}\) This study provides strong evidence that low cholesterol, recorded at a time when these people were healthy, could not have been caused by a disease they had not yet encountered.

Another explanation for an inverse association between LDL-C and mortality is that high cholesterol, and therefore high LDL-C, may protect against cancer. The reason may be that many cancer types are caused by viruses.\(^{31}\) Nine cohort studies including more than 140 000 individuals followed for 10–30 years have found an inverse association between cancer and TC measured at the start of the study, even after excluding deaths that occurred during the first 4 years.\(^{32}\) Furthermore, cholesterol-lowering experiments on rodents have resulted in cancer,\(^{33}\) and in several case–control studies of patients with cancer and controls matched for age and sex, significantly more patients with cancer have been on cholesterol-lowering treatment.\(^{32}\) In agreement with these findings, cancer mortality is significantly lower in individuals with familial hypercholesterolaemia.\(^{34}\)

That high LDL-C may be protective is in accordance with the finding that LDL-C is lower than normal in patients with acute myocardial infarction. This has been documented repeatedly without a reasonable explanation.\(^{35,36}\) In one of the studies,\(^{37}\) the authors concluded that LDL-C evidently should be lowered even more, but at a follow-up 3 years later mortality was twice as high among those whose LDL-C had been lowered the most compared with those whose cholesterol was unchanged or lowered only a little. If high LDL-C were the cause, the effect should have been the opposite.

CONCLUSIONS

Our review provides the first comprehensive analysis of the literature about the association between LDL-C and mortality in the elderly. Since the main goal of prevention of disease is prolongation of life, all-cause mortality is the most important outcome, and is also the most easily defined outcome and least subject to bias. The cholesterol hypothesis predicts that LDL-C will be associated with increased all-cause and CV mortality. Our review has shown either a lack of an association or an inverse association between LDL-C and both all-cause and CV mortality. The cholesterol hypothesis seems to be in conflict with most of Bradford Hill’s criteria for causation, because of its lack of consistency, biological gradient and coherence. Our review provides the basis for more research about the cause of atherosclerosis and CVD and also for a re-evaluation of the guidelines for cardiovascular prevention, in particular because the benefits from statin treatment have been exaggerated.\(^{38,39}\)
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