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ABSTRACT Diet can influence the composition of the human microbiome, and yet relatively few dietary ingredients have been systematically investigated with respect to their impact on the functional potential of the microbiome. Dietary resistant starch (RS) has been shown to have health benefits, but we lack a mechanistic understanding of the metabolic processes that occur in the gut during digestion of RS. Here, we collected samples during a dietary crossover study with diets containing large or small amounts of RS. We determined the impact of RS on the gut microbiome and metabolic pathways in the gut, using a combination of “omics” approaches, including 16S rRNA gene sequencing, metaproteomics, and metabolomics. This multiomics approach captured changes in the abundance of specific bacterial species, proteins, and metabolites after a diet high in resistant starch (HRS), providing key insights into the influence of dietary interventions on the gut microbiome. The combined data showed that a high-RS diet caused an increase in the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes, including increases in relative abundances of some specific members of the Firmicutes and concurrent increases in enzymatic pathways and metabolites involved in lipid metabolism in the gut.

IMPORTANCE This work was undertaken to obtain a mechanistic understanding of the complex interplay between diet and the microorganisms residing in the intestine. Although it is known that gut microbes play a key role in digestion of the food that we consume, the specific contributions of different microorganisms are not well understood. In addition, the metabolic pathways and resultant products of metabolism during digestion are highly complex. To address these knowledge gaps, we used a combination of molecular approaches to determine the identities of the microorganisms in the gut during digestion of dietary starch as well as the metabolic pathways that they carry out. Together, these data provide a more complete picture of the function of the gut microbiome in digestion, including links between an RS diet and lipid metabolism and novel linkages between specific gut microbes and their metabolites and proteins produced in the gut.
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Prebiotics include some classes of dietary carbohydrates that are resistant to degradation in the small intestine but metabolized by microbes in the colon, where they are fermented into short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), gases, and other products, which directly or indirectly affect the health of the host (1). The amount and types of carbohydrates that reach the colon affect the composition of the gut microbiome (1, 2) as well as the metabolic end products of microbial degradation (3). Foods that are enriched with resistant but fermentable starches are of interest as prebiotics due to their potential health benefits.

Resistant starch (RS) is an example of a complex carbohydrate and prebiotic that is relatively resistant to degradation in the small intestine by $\alpha$-amylase, a starch degradation enzyme produced by the host. The degree of resistance to degradation is largely dependent on the proportion of amylase to amylopectin that the starch molecule contains. Amylopectin is a glucose polymer that is susceptible to enzymatic hydrolysis by amylase at branching points occurring at $\alpha$-1,6 glycosidic bonds every 24 to 30 glucose units. In contrast, amylase is a more linear glucose polymer with primarily $\alpha$-1,4 glycosidic bonds that are not easily hydrolyzed. The crystallinity, particle size, structure, and cooking approach are also factors that contribute to the digestibility of starches in the diet. In this respect, RS can be classified into 4 different types: RS type 1 is physically inaccessible, RS type 2 is native granular starch consisting of ungelatinized granules, RS type 3 is retrograded amylase, and RS type 4 is chemically modified to make it indigestible. Depending on the formulation of RS, the gut microbiome has been reported to respond differently, with a trend for an increase in Bacteroidetes compared to Firmicutes after an RS4 diet (4) and the opposite trend after an RS2 diet (5).

Once RS enters the colon in a form that is accessible to microbial digestion, it is fermented to SCFA, such as butyrate. Butyrate has several proposed health benefits, including provision of energy for colonic epithelial cells and improvement of insulin sensitivity (6). Previous studies have established links between specific members of the gut microbiome and RS digestion (2), including the key role of some members in fermentation of RS to butyrate (7, 8). Specific taxa that have been shown to be involved in RS metabolism include Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium rectale, and Ruminococcus bromii (2, 9–11).

Here, we aimed to go beyond understanding impacts of RS at the microbial community level, to gain a more complete understanding at a mechanistic level of the impact of RS on the metabolic functions that are carried out by members of the gut microbiome during RS digestion in conjunction with the host. We studied the gut microbiome in stool samples collected from a cohort of individuals who had a prescribed diet with high or low levels of RS in a crossover study design. We used a within-subject crossover design to determine at what levels the responses were most clearly manifested. To identify the functional potential of the gut microbiome during RS digestion, we leveraged our development of untargeted “shotgun” approaches to determine the complement of proteins (metaproteomics) (12) and metabolites (13) in the gut. Together, this multiomics approach enabled us to develop a more complete picture of the metabolic processes occurring in the gut during RS digestion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dietary study included 39 participants with reduced insulin sensitivity, as assessed using a homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) below the median (14). The rationale for choosing insulin-resistant subjects was to determine whether the diet would improve insulin sensitivity, as previously reported (15–18). The participants consumed diets with either large amounts of carbohydrates ($n = 16$) or small amounts of carbohydrates ($n = 23$), following a baseline diet. Next, all participants consumed either large or small amounts of resistant starch (HRS or LRS, respectively) for 2 weeks, in a crossover time series study (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material), with a 2-week baseline washout diet in between. Fecal samples were collected after the baseline diet (day 14) and again after the LRS and HRS diets (day 28 or day 56, respectively), for a total of 3 samples per subject (Fig. S1A and B). Macronutrient...
distributions in the baseline diet and both RS diets were similar, whereas the baseline diet was low in foods containing naturally occurring RS. The HRS diet included high-amylose cornstarch (Hi-Maize 260; Ingredion Inc., Bridgewater, NJ; 41.5 g RS/100 g starch), while the LRS diet contained conventional, high-amylopectin cornstarch (Melojel; Ingredion Inc., Bridgewater, NJ; 2.3 g RS/100 g starch). The amount of RS was designed to match the carbohydrate load of the diet. Therefore, subjects in the high-carbohydrate (HC) arm of the study consumed either 66 g RS for the HRS diet or 4 g for the LRS diet, whereas for the low-carbohydrate (LC) arm of the study the subjects consumed either 48 g for the HRS diet or 3 g for the LRS diet, based on 2500 kcal/day menus (Fig. S1B). In the LRS diet group, Melojel cornstarch was consumed cooked and in baked goods, while approximately 50% of the Hi-Maize cornstarch in the HRS diet group was consumed raw and mixed with beverages, soups, or fruit purees. The HRS and LRS diets were otherwise balanced with respect to amounts of fat, protein, and food fiber, as described elsewhere (19).

All of the fecal samples were subjected to 16S rRNA gene (16S) sequencing to determine the impact of diet on the gut microbial community structure. Interpersonal variation was identified as an important factor, with samples clustering by patient throughout the dietary intervention (Fig. S2A). The effect of prescribed diet was also significant, and the low-carbohydrate diet showed the greatest impact of RS supplementation on the microbial community structure (Fig. S2B). Therefore, the remaining analyses that we present here focus on the samples from the low-carbohydrate diet arm of the study (23 participants; 3 samples taken at 3 time points each, for a total of 69 fecal samples [Fig. S1B]). The 16S data also revealed that diet had a significant impact on the microbiome structure, irrespective of the time of sampling during the crossover study. For this reason, we classified samples based on the resistant starch load of the diet at the time of sampling (baseline, HRS, or LRS, respectively), without differentiating if that diet was assigned first or second during the crossover study.

In agreement with earlier observations (15–18), we reported significantly attenuated postprandial insulin and glucose responses to the HRS meals (19). Whereas the HRS and LRS diets did not affect fasting concentrations of insulin and glucose, the HRS meals (19) produced significantly lower postprandial insulin and glucose responses, expressed as incremental area under the curve (IAUC), compared to LRS meals (19). These results suggest a potential utility for RS in improving meal-to-meal regulation of blood glucose. However, we also found that plasma levels of trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO), a biomarker of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, were higher following the HRS diet (19). Therefore, the relative benefits of dietary RS should be further investigated, probably on a per-individual basis.

Consistent with previous reports (2), we found that the HRS diet resulted in a shift in the structure of the gut microbiome (Fig. 1). Although the bacterial structure of the fecal samples varied between individuals (Fig. S3), there was a consistent increase in the proportion of Firmicutes to Bacteroides following the HRS diet compared to the baseline and LRS diets (Fig. 1), suggesting that members of the Firmicutes had a selective advantage over members of the Bacteroides when there were large amounts of RS in the diet. These changes included increases in relative amounts of species in the genera Faecalibacterium, Roseburia, and Ruminococcus, which have been associated with butyrate production (20, 21) and found to be reduced in abundance in the gut microbiota of participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) compared to healthy individuals (22). Consistent with the increase of F. prausnitzii, Roseburia, and Ruminococcus, short-chain fatty acid analysis revealed a slight increase of butyrate and propionate in the fecal samples of participants consuming the HRS diet. Valerate and isovalerate were not affected by the different diets. Further, specific taxa that increased following the HRS diet included Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Prevotellaceae, Ruminococcus, Eubacterium rectale, Roseburia faecis, and Akkermansia muciniphila (Fig. 1), several of which have previously been reported to increase in the colon following a high resistant starch diet (2, 9, 11).

In the present study, we went beyond taxonomic characterization to also investigate
Map of the microbiome corresponding to dietary resistant starch (RS) levels. Average relative abundances of taxa are based on 16S rRNA gene sequences from all samples: the larger the cells, the greater the overall abundance of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in that particular taxonomic category averaged across all samples in this study. Maps on top from left to right specify phylum, order, and genus. The map on the bottom visualizes averaged Pearson correlation coefficients from all samples that were calculated from relative OTU abundances versus the approximate amount of RS in each diet: 0 (baseline), 0.05 (LRS), and 1 (HRS).
the functional shifts according to diet. We first employed a shotgun metaproteomics approach (n = 24) (12) to determine the identities of thousands of host and microbial proteins across all samples. The main Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) classes (Fig. 2A) represented in the protein data included those for translation, carbohydrate metabolism and transport, energy production and conversion, amino acid metabolism and transport, and lipid metabolism. We added taxonomic annotations to the 56,294 bacterial proteins detected (Fig. 2B) and focused on proteins involved in carbohydrate metabolism and transport that were significantly shifted in relative abundance by diet (Fig. 2C). Of these, several proteins involved in butyrate metabolism were significantly altered, as verified with the post hoc Kruskal-Nemenyi test (Fig. 2C), including butyrate kinase (baseline versus HRS, P < 0.001; HRS versus LRS, P < 0.01) and enoyl coenzyme A (enoyl-CoA) hydratase (LRS versus baseline, P < 0.0001; LRS versus HRS, P < 0.003). A targeted quantification of butyrate in the samples revealed trends for increased butyrate accumulation in the HRS diet and to a lesser extent in the LRS diet, although this was highly variable between individuals (data not shown). Cross-feeding effects between gut microbial populations have previously been shown to increase variability between individuals because butyrate producers often take longer to become estab-
lished after a dietary intervention (23). Furthermore, proteins involved in energy production and conversion (phosphotransacetylase) and nucleotide metabolism and transport (adenylosuccinate synthase, adenine/guanine phosphoribosyltransferases [PRPPs], and related PRPP-binding proteins) were correlated with the HRS diet compared to baseline (Fig. 2A and B). Proteins assigned to the main COG classes and their corresponding phyla are shown in Fig. 2D; additional significant COG terms are visualized in a heat map in Fig. S4.

The use of 16S data together with proteomics provided us with greater resolution of functional roles of members of the gut microbiome. There was a high correlation between the 16S data and the proteome data with respect to operational taxonomic unit (OTU) and protein abundance (Fig. 2E), with more proteins detected for the more abundant community members. For example, some members of the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were both highly abundant at the 16S level and had the largest amount of proteins detected. The most abundant families with the major protein identifications were Bacteroidaceae, Ruminococcaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Prevotellaceae. There were some outliers, e.g., the Eubacteriaceae, which had a relatively low abundance but a high number of proteins detected, indicating a high level of protein production per individual in this group. Another outlier was Pasteurellaceae, which were relatively abundant but had few proteins assigned, suggesting that the represented populations were not very active at the sampling time. The Proteobacteria were intermediate in abundance and protein levels. Furthermore, the taxonomic assignment was attained for many of the proteins (14%) based on the gut reference isolate database used for the proteome searches. Most of the carbohydrate metabolism enzymes and transport systems associated with the HRS diet were affiliated with specific species, such as F. prausnitzii and Coprococcus comes, some of which were also more abundant following the HRS diet than at baseline and after the LRS diet.

Analysis of human proteins in the samples revealed a relative enrichment of some human proteins involved in lipid metabolism with the HRS diet by comparison to baseline. These included lipases, such as colipase, pancreatic triglyceride lipase, and bile salt-stimulated lipase. In contrast, human α-amylase was negatively correlated with the HRS diet, presumably because of the resistant nature of the starch that made it less accessible as a substrate during digestion (24, 25).

We used high-resolution mass spectrometry (Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry [FT-ICR-MS]) to analyze metabolites in the same fecal samples (n = 45). Metabolites were extracted with methanol and analyzed by FT-ICR-MS. Data filtering and metabolite assignment using the MassTRIX (26) web server revealed 5,552 features, the majority (62%, 3,416 features) of which were unknown but assigned to molecular formulas using NetCalc (27). Thirty-eight percent (2,136 features) could be assigned to compounds. Nine percent (525 features) of the total 5,552 features are listed in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (28) pathways.

By application of multivariate statistical analyses (Fig. 3), significant changes in gut metabolites were found between samples collected after the HRS and baseline diets (Fig. 3A) but not between baseline and LRS diets. Furthermore, a trend toward separation was observed between the HRS and LRS diets (Fig. 3B). Several orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) models were applied (Table 1), which revealed significant features that were highly affected by the HRS diet compared to baseline and LRS diets. This showed that almost half (46%, 2,566 features) of the total 5,552 features were altered by diet. As a consequence, 2.7% or 3.0% of the 46% significant features detected were related to the baseline or LRS diets, respectively, whereas a much higher percentage was related to the HRS diet (74.7% of the total significant 2,566 features). Given the high number of unknown metabolites and the accompanying difficulty of identification and classification (29, 30), we focused only on the metabolites that were altered by diet according to OPLS-DA results.

The metabolite data strengthened the overall evidence that lipid metabolic pathways carried out by both the host and the microbiome were impacted by diet (Fig. 3A and B). Several metabolites in pathways involved in lipid metabolism were significantly
higher or lower in abundance in the HRS diet than at baseline (Fig. 3C, D, and E); these included pathways for fatty acid metabolism, primary and secondary bile acid biosynthesis, bile acid secretion, steroid biosynthesis, and metabolism of linoleic and arachidonic acid. In addition, many fatty acids varying in chain length and saturation degrees from C17 to C29 (Fig. S5) show a positive correlation with the HRS and LRS profiles (P < 0.05). Of these, three fatty acids, namely, hexadecanoic acid (C16:1), octadecadienoic acid (C18:2), and octadecenoic acid (C18:1), were less abundant after diets containing

**FIG 3** Significant differences in fecal metabolite compositions between diets. (A) OPLS-DA score scatter plot comparing baseline diet (blue) versus HRS diet (red); Q²(cum) = 0.8 and R²Y(cum) = 1. (B) OPLS-DA score scatter plot comparing LRS diet (green) with HRS diet (red); Q²(cum) = 0.6 and R²Y(cum) = 0.9. (A and B) t[1] represents the first component; t0[1] expresses the variance orthogonal to the variable Y (class). (C) OPLS-DA loading scatter plot of metabolites assigned to biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites (cyan), lipid metabolism (purple), and metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides (yellow). (D) Alternating main pathways within different diets (HRS versus LRS); Q²(cum) = 0.6 and R²Y(cum) = 0.3. (E) Euclidean distance hierarchical clustering analysis visualizing the different intensity levels of compounds related to lipid metabolism related in specific diet classes.

### TABLE 1 OPLS-DA models for metabolomics analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R²Y(cum)</th>
<th>Q²(cum)</th>
<th>P (CV-ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (28 days) versus LRS (28 days), fatty acyls only</td>
<td>G1 versus G3, fatty acyls only</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline versus HRS (28 days)</td>
<td>G1 versus G2</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline versus HRS (28 days), fatty acyls only</td>
<td>G1 versus G2, fatty acyls only</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline versus LRS (28 days)</td>
<td>G1 versus G3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline versus rest®</td>
<td>G1 versus rest</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRS (28 days) versus HRS (56 days)</td>
<td>G2 versus G5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRS (28 days) versus LRS (28 days), fatty acyls only</td>
<td>G2 versus G3, fatty acyls only</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRS (28 days) versus LRS (56 days)</td>
<td>G2 versus G4</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRS versus LRS</td>
<td>(G2, G5) versus (G3, G4)</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRS versus rest</td>
<td>G3 versus G4</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRS (28 days) versus LRS (56 days)</td>
<td>(G2, G5) versus rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRS versus HRS (28 days)</td>
<td>G3 versus G4, versus G2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRS versus rest</td>
<td>(G3, G4) versus rest</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

®NS, not significant.

Rest, the rest of the diet types.
These novel findings suggest that products of dietary RS fermentation in the colon are further metabolized by the gut microbiome and involved in lipid biosynthesis as well as metabolism of host-derived lipids. Although the baseline and LRS diets were hard to discriminate at the whole-profile level, three features (m/z 171.13906, m/z 199.17035, and m/z 227.20166; C10:0, C12:0, and C14:0, respectively), of which the fatty acids C12:0 and C14:0 were confirmed by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS), were significantly (P < 0.002) elevated on the LRS diet (Fig. 3E, S5, and S6). These were correlated with a Lachnospiraceae species that was also higher in relative abundance after the LRS diet. Further analysis of the center log-transformed metabolomics data revealed that the separation between baseline samples from the others samples was largely explained by abundances of fatty acids and sterol lipids (Fig. S7).

The true power of our study design comes from the ability to examine results across the different omics levels for an integrated systems picture. We used the context likelihood of relatedness (CLR) method (31) to display potential interactions of all three data sets, visualized as a network (Fig. 4A). Various areas of the network were assigned to different metabolite compound classes and related to taxa and proteins. We detected more discriminating features for the HRS diet compared to baseline (Fig. 4A) than for the LRS diet compared to baseline (Fig. S8), which again demonstrates that the HRS diet has a larger impact on the gut microbiome than the LRS diet. Some features were anticorrelated with the HRS diet, suggesting that they decreased with increased RS intake. These included 16S sequences corresponding to Bacteroides and Lachnospiraceae as well as some metabolites corresponding to unsaturated fatty acyls and some sterol lipids (Fig. 4A).

The combined CLR data allowed us to identify features associated with a diet and features that were cocorrelated. This systems view of the metabolite composition and

---

**FIG 4** Multiomics data integration for different diet categories. (A) Network following HRS diet. Similarities (edges) within and between species, proteins, and metabolites (circles, squares, and triangles, respectively) across participants and time points, including only nodes significantly higher (red) or lower (blue) in HRS than baseline (two-sided r test; P < 0.05). (B) OPLS-DA plot of all data (features: metabolites, 5,552; proteome, 57,397; OTUs, 1,107) for baseline (blue, negative x axis) versus HRS (red, positive x axis); P = 8.3 × 10^{-8} (CV-ANOVA); R^2Y(cum) = 0.96; Q^2(cum) = 0.88. (C) OPLS-DA plot for HRS (red, negative x axis) versus LRS (green, positive x axis); P = 0.026 (CV-ANOVA); R^2Y(cum) = 0.883; Q^2(cum) = 0.534.
clustering confirms results from previous analyses of the influence of dietary resistant starch on some members of the Firmicutes, such as F. prausnitzii (32), and goes beyond them by also identifying correlations of specific species with specific metabolites and proteins. For example, not only was F. prausnitzii positively correlated with the HRS diet, but we found 14 novel polyketides and several unknown metabolites that were also correlated with this microorganism (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, F. prausnitzii was linked to a specific protein in the data set, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (ATP), which is an enzyme involved in several reactions of pyruvate metabolism. Changes in the abundance of F. prausnitzii have been linked to dysbiosis in several human disorders (33), and using our integrated systems approach, we are able to better understand its function in vivo by relating its changes in abundance to changes in protein and metabolite abundance (in both host and microbe) as a function of diet. Another example was Ruminococcus sp., which expressed an ABC sugar transporter (based on the proteome data) and was correlated with several unknown metabolites. We also found several additional specific metabolites that could be correlated with specific taxa (Table S1). To generalize this process of finding related features, we identified important modules of the network and listed their features in Table S2. Note that the majority of the metabolites remain unknown, illustrating the current challenge in identification of metabolites with various masses and isomers.

We complemented this network-based approach using a supervised ordination approach (Fig. 4B and C), combining the three data sets through a unit variance scaling. This method was able to discriminate metabolites, proteins, and OTUs that were correlated with each other and with the different diets. By examining the most abundant features for each data set (16S, proteome, and metabolome) we found numerous features that were cocorrelated with the HRS diet. For example, sterol lipids correlated with several Ruminococcaceae, Clostridia, and Lachnospiraceae species. On the other hand, numerous correlations of Bacteroidetes, Lachnospiraceae, and fatty acyls could be detected for the baseline diet. In general, in the HRS diet we see a highly significant increase in fatty acyls (HRS, 20.3%; LRS, 1.9%; baseline, 1.9%) and sterol lipids (HRS, 25.6%; LRS, 0.4%; baseline, 1.1%) and simultaneously an increase of Faecalibacterium (HRS, 1.4%; LRS and baseline, 0.0%) based on all significant features from the OPLS-DA results (Fig. 4B and C).

The multiomics data are summarized here in one overview model (Fig. 5) to illustrate the main effects of the resistant starch diet on the gut microbiome and functions that they carry out. Although some of the effects were previously predicted, such as changes in starch degradation and metabolism (1, 3), this is the first overview of the multitude of processes that occur using a systems approach to integrate different multiomics measurements simultaneously. For example, proteins involved in starch degradation and metabolism were increased in the HRS diet. Some enzymes, in particular human α-amylase, were significantly less abundant in the HRS diet. We hypothesize that this was due to the decrease in readily available starch compared to the baseline diet. This study also reinforced the importance of specific members of the Firmicutes, such as F. prausnitzii, for metabolism of nondietary carbohydrates in the diet, including enzymes for butyrate production by this organism. Some of the unexpected findings included links of F. prausnitzii to putative polyketide metabolites. In contrast, members of the Bacteroidetes were reduced in abundance following the HRS diet.

In summary, these results demonstrate that a multiomics approach provides a systems-level understanding of host and microbial metabolism and protein expression. These include novel links between an RS diet and lipid metabolism by the host and microbiome, beyond known impacts on short-chain fatty acid metabolism. The results also point toward key linkages between several members of the gut microbiome and metabolites and proteins produced in the gut. We present an overview of the multiomics data as a model of the complex interplay among organisms, metabolites, and functional processes. A notable strength of the approach used here is that proteins and metabolites were collected from host and microbiome simultaneously, allowing a systems-level approach to observing their interplay. Taken together, the results em-
phasize the importance of longitudinal, multimics study designs for unraveling the effects of nutrition on the microbiome and health.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design.** Twenty-six women and 13 men were enrolled in the present study. They were insulin resistant (HOMA-IR, >50th percentile for sex), had a body mass index (BMI) between 20 and 35 kg/m² and met other eligibility criteria. Male participants (>20 years) and postmenopausal women (≥43 years, no menses for ≥3 years or no menses for <1 year and ≤3 years and additionally a follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH] plasma concentration within that range) were selected. Furthermore, all participants were nonsmokers, did not take any drugs (lipid- or glucose-lowering medications, blood-thinning agents, hormones, and antibiotics), had no record of cardiovascular disease (CVD) or other chronic diseases, and were otherwise healthy. Further clinical criteria are as follows: fasting glucose, <126 mg/dl; fasting triglycerides, <500 mg/dl; blood pressure, <150/90; low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and total cholesterol, ≤90th percentile for age and gender; 3 months prior to the study a stable weight with <3% change; and abstention from alcohol and any dietary supplements during the study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Children’s Hospital and Research Center of Oakland. All participants gave written informed consent to take part in the study. The study protocols were approved by the Human Subjects Committee of both Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The study design is presented in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material. Study participants were randomly assigned to either the high-carbohydrate (HC) or low-carbohydrate (LC) arm of the study and then assigned to a sequence of the two experimental diets that added resistant starch in proportion to carbohydrate load: for 2500 kcal/day menus, the low resistant starch diet contained 3 g in the LC arm and 4 g in the HC arm, while the high resistant starch (HRS) diet contained 2500 kcal/day menus. The study design is presented in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material.

**FIG 5** Overview of detected enzymes, pathways, species, and metabolites that were significantly impacted by a resistant starch diet. Red arrows/frames, increased in HRS; blue frames, decreased in HRS; black arrows, not detected or not increased in HRS over baseline; green arrows/frames, increased in LRS. 1, starch and sucrose metabolism; 2, glycolysis from glucose to pyruvate; 3, 3-oxoacyl-(acyl carrier protein) synthase; 4, acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase; 5, 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase; 6, enoyl-CoA hydratase; 7, enoyl-(acyl carrier protein) reductase (NADH); 8, acetate CoA transferase; 9, butyrate kinase; 10, succinate dehydrogenase/fumarate reductase; 11, fumarate hydratase; 12, malate dehydrogenase; 13, 2-ketoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase; 14, succinyl-CoA synthetase; 15, succinate dehydrogenase/fumarate reductase; 16, malate dehydrogenase; 17, fumarate hydratase; 18, malate dehydrogenase; 19, human enzymes.
48 g in the LC arm and 66 g in the HC arm. Each diet period was 2 weeks with a 2-week baseline washout diet in between. In both diets, type 2 resistant starch, a granular form of high-amylose cornstarch, was used. Melojel was used for LRS diets, while Hi-Maize 260 resistant starch was used for the HRS diets (National Starch). Fecal samples and fasting, postheparin, and postprandial blood samples were collected for the initial baseline diet and after each diet period.

**DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing.** DNA from the resulting 96 fecal samples (Fig. S1) was extracted in duplicate from 0.25-g samples using the PowerSoil DNA extraction kit (Mo Bio, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, plus an additional heat lysis step for 5 min at 60°C. The DNA was PCR amplified using the F515/R806 primer to target the V4-V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene and barcoded with a 12-base error-correcting Golay code as previously described by Caporaso et al. (34). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform as previously described (35).

Sequence data were analyzed using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) pipeline. Briefly, sequences were quality filtered using default parameters and clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the closed-reference OTU picking protocol at 97% sequencing identity (36). The taxonomy associated with each OTU was calculated as previously described (37).

The raw OTU table was filtered, normalized, and imported into R (38) using the phyloseq package (39). Samples were retained if they contained more than 5,000 reads. OTUs were retained if they appeared more than five times in more than 5 samples. These filtering techniques reduced the number of OTUs from 4,481 to 1,071 while preserving 98.6% of all observations. Using this filtered "biom" table, the DESeq2 package (40) was used to identify OTUs which were differentially abundant between groups. For significant OTUs at an alpha cutoff of 0.001 or 0.01, the log, fold change was reported. To control for sequencing depth when calculating metrics of beta diversity and building the CLR interaction network, OTU counts in each sample were proportionally scaled to an even depth of 5,000 reads per sample.

**Combined protein and metabolite extraction.** Each stool sample (~15 g) was homogenized in a conical 50-ml Falcon tube with 20 ml cold sterile water using a handheld homogenizer (VDI 12 homogenizer, 115 V; VWR; catalog no. 82027-184) at full speed (30,000 rpm) for 2 periods of 30 s each, with cooling on ice between homogenization periods. The homogenate was proportioned into four 50-ml conical Falcon tubes per sample (2 tubes were processed for metabolomics and 2 for proteomics [see below]). For metabolite extraction, 2 portions were centrifuged at 4°C and 14,000 × g for 10 min, and the aqueous supernatant was decanted and stored at −80°C. For methanol extraction, 1.2 ml of cold methanol was added to each of the 2 cell pellets per sample and briefly mixed by vortexing. The cells were lysed by pressure cycling with 30 cycles at 30,000 lb/in2 using the Barocycler NEP3229 cell disruptor (Pressure Biosciences, Easton, MA). The lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 × g, the two supernatants were combined into a fresh microcentrifuge tube, and the methanol extracts were stored at −80°C.

For protein extraction, 5 ml of PBS was added to the remaining two tubes of homogenized fecal material per sample, and the samples were briefly mixed by vortexing, followed by centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 5 min (4°C) to pellet larger debris. The supernatants were transferred to new 50-ml conical Falcon tubes on ice. An additional 4 ml of cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to the cell pellet/debris per original tube and mixed with the homogenizer at full speed for 2 periods of 30 s each on ice. The combined supernatants were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × g (4°C), and the supernatants were discarded. Each cell pellet was washed with cold PBS, resuspended in 600 μl of cold PBS, vortexed, and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 10 min in a preweighed microcentrifuge tube. The supernatant was discarded, the pellet weight was calculated, and the sample was stored at −80°C.

**Metaproteomics approach.** The cell pellets were thawed and immediately diluted in 6 M guanidine-10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), followed by heating at 60°C for 1 h with constant vortexing to dissolve the pellets. The samples were then diluted 6-fold with 50 mM Tris-10 mM CaCl2 (pH 7.6) and mixed by vortexing. Sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) was added to each sample at 1:100 (wt/wt) protein, and trypsin digestion was performed overnight at 37°C while gently mixing. An additional aliquot of trypsin was added to each sample at 1:100 (wt/wt) protein, and digestion was performed for an additional 4 h at 37°C. The digested samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000 × g to remove particulate debris. Then, the samples were desalted using C18 Sep-Pak solid-phase extraction cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA) and concentrated to ~5 ml using a Savant SpeedVac (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The samples were then solvent exchanged with 0.1% formic acid in high-performance-liquid-chromatography (HPLC)-grade water and concentrated by vacuum to ~500 μl. The samples were filtered using Durapore polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) filters (0.45 μm; Millipore), aliquoted into 150-μl aliquots, and stored at −80°C prior to two-dimensional (2-D) liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis.

The resultant complex peptide mixtures (~150 μl) were loaded onto a biphasic C18-SCX (reverse-phase–strong cation exchange) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) self-packed nano-back column (3-cm C18, 3-cm SCX, 150-μm inside diameter [i.d.]) that serves as the first dimension of the 2-D LC system to capture peptides and wash away salts. Once loaded, the column was moved in line with a U3000 HPLC (Dionex, subsidiary of Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) which was split to obtain an ~300-nl/min flow rate over the nano-analytical columns. The back column was washed with 100% aqueous solvent followed by an organic solvent gradient (70% acetonitrile [ACN], 0.1% formic acid) to remove salts and move the peptides to the SCX phase. The back column was then attached to a 15-cm by 100-μm C18 front resolving column with an integrated nanospray tip (New Objective, Woburn, MA; Picofrit packed with Phenomenex Aqua C18). The resolving column was housed in a nanospray source (Proxeon; Thermo Fisher) attached to a QExactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany). An automated 24-h two-dimensional LC-MS/MS run was programmed into Xcalibur (Thermo Fisher), and each sample
was analyzed with a separation scheme consisting of 12 salt pulses followed by 2-h C18 separation, as previously described (41). During each analysis and all sample runs, the QExactive settings were as follows: normalized collision energy for heated capillary dissociation (HCD) of 28 eV, a full-scan resolution of 70,000 from 400 to 1,600 m/z, an HCD MS/MS resolution of 17,500 with an isolation width of 3 m/z, and a dynamic exclusion setting of 15 s. Peptides were not excluded based on charge state, and 1 microscan for both full and MS/MS scans was acquired. All MS and MS/MS data were acquired in profile mode.

Quantitation and normalization of metaproteome data. All MS/MS spectra were searched against our customized sequence database (42), consisting of human protein sequences, translated metagenome sequences, proteins of 34 human-gut-isolated microbial species, and common contaminants (i.e., trypsin and keratin, 36 protein sequences). All MS/MS individual runs were searched with the SEQUEST (v.27) algorithm (43) against our customized FASTA sequence database, as previously described (42) (<4 miscleavages, 3-Da mass tolerance window around the precursor ion mass, and 0.5 Da for fragment ion masses). All SEQUEST output files were gathered and filtered using DTASelect (1.9) (44) at our customized sequence database (42), consisting of human protein sequences, translated metagenome sequences, and a dynamic exclusion setting of 15 s. Peptides were not excluded based on charge state, and 1 microscan for both full and MS/MS scans was acquired. All MS and MS/MS data were acquired in profile mode.

Metabolomics. Methanol extracts of the stool samples were measured randomized in negative electrospray ionization mode ([−]ESI) using an ultra-high-resolution SolarisX Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer (FT-ICR-MS) (Bruker Daltonik GmbH) with a 12-tesla superconducting magnet and an Apollo II electrospray ionization (ESI) source. For each sample, 500 scans were acquired in single MS mode within a mass range from m/z 122.9 to m/z 1,000. The MS parameters were as follows: capillary, −3,600 V; nebulizer pressure, 200 kPa; dry gas, 4.0 liter/min; dry temperature, 180°C. The instrument was calibrated using a 5-ppm arginine solution.

(i) Metabolite data processing. Ultra-high-resolution mass spectra were processed using Data Analysis 4.0 SP2 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH). All spectra were calibrated internally using a reference list of known masses (fatty acids) with an error below 0.075 ppm and exported as ASCII files with a signal-to-noise ratio of 4 using Automation Engine 4.0 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH). ASCII files were converted to ASC files by in-house software, before all spectra were aligned to a data matrix with an error of 1 ppm by in-house software, resulting in 97,483 mass signals. The aligned data matrix was filtered by mass signals counted <5 times in n = 45 mass spectra and a mass defect above 0.8, which resulted in 14,167 mass signals. The mass signals were assigned to molecular formulas using NetCalc (27) (network tolerance, 0.2 ppm; NetCalc tolerance, 0.2 ppm) and searched against the KEGG (28) (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), HMDB (45) (Human Metabolome Database), and Lipid Maps (http://www.lipidmaps.org) databases using Homo sapiens as a reference organism using the Mass-TRIX web server (26, 46) with a maximum error of 1 ppm.

For multivariate data analysis (MVA), samples had been divided into 3 main groups (baseline [blue, G1], HRS [red, G2], and LRS [green, G3]), since orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) revealed no significant changes between the LRS diets at day 28 and day 56, as well as between the HRS diets at day 28 and day 56. Different OPLS-DA classification models were designed to evaluate the effect of resistant starch on the gut microbiome, which are listed in Table 1. The classification models were first validated by the 7-fold cross-validation method. In order to exclude overfitting, a cross-validation analysis of variance (CV-ANOVA) was calculated for each OPLS-DA classification model. Further, the significance of each model (P value) and indicators such as the goodness-of-fit R2Y(cum) and the goodness-of-prediction Q2(cum) were subsequently reported. In order to evaluate the metabolomics data set with respect to the impact of the different diets on the human gut microbiome, all valid classification models of the OPLS-DA were merged to examine the most discriminating features among the baseline, HRS, and LRS diets.

In order to identify significant features of the metabolomics data set, a lipidomics-MS/MS approach was applied using an Acquity ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography system (Waters GmbH, Eschborn, Germany) coupled to a Bruker maXis ultrahigh-resolution–time of flight mass spectrometer (UHR-TOF-MS) (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) as previously described in the work of Witting et al. (47). Methanol (MeOH), acetonitrile (ACN), isopropanol (IPA), ammonium formate, and formic acid were of LC-MS quality and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany). The water was purged through a Merck Millipore system with a resistance of 18 MΩ and a total organic carbon (TOC) of <4 ppb. Standards and a representative sample set were measured under the same conditions.

Mass spectra were processed and calibrated using Data Analysis 4.1 SR 1 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH), Chro-
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TABLE 2  External calibration results of the SCFA analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>m/z (derivatized)</th>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Calibration function</th>
<th>Coefficient of determination ($R^2$)</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>2,411,341</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$y = 1.2119x - 0.5784$</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>UV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>2,551,497</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>$y = 59.940x + 16,956$</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleric acid</td>
<td>2,691,654</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>$y = 82,730x + 6,202.9$</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>2,691,654</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>$y = 92,248x + 4,883$</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

matograms were averaged, made standard dependent, and calibrated using a reference list of standards of the injected calibration standard mix (G1969-85000; Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany), as well as the standards used for the MS/MS experiment with an error of less than 0.3 ppm. The extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) were extracted from each standard and representative sample with an error of $\pm 0.01$ Da.

For fatty acid identification, mass signals assigned as fatty acids were extracted from the data matrix and significantly changed fatty acids were visualized as box plots, displaying the intensity levels of each fatty acid between the baseline, HRS, and LRS diets, using RStudio (version 0.99.489). The significance was tested by applying the post hoc Kruskal-Nemenyi test for pairwise test of multiple comparisons of mean rank sums (PMCMR package, version 4.1) (48). Metabolites of the lipid metabolism altered by diet were visualized in a heat map by Hierarchical Clustering Explorer version 3.5 (49) (Human-Computer Interaction Lab, University of Maryland—College Park). Therefore, the data were normalized ($X - \bar{X}$) and clustered by rows with Euclidean distance.

(ii) Short-chain fatty acid analysis. The fecal MeOH extracts and chemical standards of propionic acid, butyric acid, valeric acid, and isovaleric acid were prepared and derivatized as instructed in the AMP* mass spectrometry kit (Cayman Chemicals) product insert. Each mixture was diluted with 352 $\mu$L A-B (5 mM CH$_3$COONH$_4$ plus 0.1% acetic acid-ACN in a 99:1 ratio).

SCFA analysis was performed on an Acquity ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography system (Waters GmbH, Eischborn, Germany) coupled to a Bruker maXis UHR-TOF-MS (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany), and SCFA were measured in positive electrospray ionization mode. Gradient separation of 1 mL took place on a Waters BEH C$_8$ column (1.7 mm, 2.1 mm by 150 mm) with A (5 mM CH$_3$COONH$_4$ plus 0.1% acetic acid) and B (100% ACN). Total run time was 22 min plus 2 min prun. Start conditions of the gradient separation were 99% A. This was held for 1 min and then decreased to 1% A within 16 min and held for 2 min. A was increased to 99% A for 0.2 min and held for 2.8 min. The flow rate was 0.3 ml/min, and column temperature was 40°C. MS parameters were as follows: mass range, m/z 50 to 1,200; nebulizer gas, 200 kPa; dry gas, 8 liters/min; dry temperature, 200°C; spectrum rate, 2.0 Hz; capillary, 4,500 V; end plate offset, ~500 V. Simultaneously, a photodiode array detector (PDA) was operated at a UV range from 190 to 500 nm. For calibration, a 1:4-diluted ESI-I low-concentration tuning mix (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) was injected prior to the separation at the first 0.1 min of the analysis.

The adducts of the derivatized products were calculated as follows: $M$ (monoisotopic mass [metabolite]) = H$_2$O + AMP$^+$ ($C_{12}H_{13}N_2O_5$) = M - AMP$^+$ (Retention time (RT) was extracted by Data Analysis version 4.1 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany), and the peak areas were extracted by QuantAnalysis version 2.1 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). SCFA were quantified by external calibration including 8 calibration points based on the extracted peak areas of each standard concentration via the calculated calibration function (Table 2).

Visualization of microbiome and proteome data. The microbiome and proteome data were visually presented based on Voronoi treemaps, developed and adapted for biological applications at the Geisingfeld University Institute for Microbiology (50-52). The treemaps originate from the work of Ben Shneiderman (University of Michigan) (53), followed by an improvement to Voronoi treemaps performed by Balzer and Deussen (54), and were adapted for applications in biosciences (50).

The protein data were condensed to the microbial species level, and the Voronoi treemaps were colored accordingly to species (55). In order to assign the proteins to functional classes, all proteins were analyzed separately (microbial to COG and human to KEGG Brite [56, 57]). Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated based on R values versus relative abundances of OTUs or proteins in the samples. For these calculations, values approximating the concentrations of RS in the diets were assigned as follows: 0 for baseline, 0.05 (3 g RS) for LRS, and 1 (48 g RS) for HRS. For the rarefied OTU data, the correlation coefficients were averaged and visualized using the same color code as applied to the proteome data, and the treemap polygon sizes correspond to the average counts of OTUs for all samples to visualize their relative amounts in the entire data set.

Multiomics integrative analyses. Pairs of data sets were assembled by matching participants from each individual data set (16S, proteomics, and metabolomics) to provide maximum overlapping data sets (16S plus proteomics, proteomics plus metabolomics, and metabolomics plus 16S). All individual and combined data sets were then filtered to exclude those rows (OTU, protein, or metabolite, respectively) that had greater than 50% of values missing. The context likelihood of relatedness (CLR) method was applied to determine shared information for all pairs of rows (31, 58). Six individual networks were constructed by applying a Z score filter of 6.5 to each comparison: protein plus protein, 16S plus 16S, metabolomics plus metabolomics, 16S plus protein, protein plus metabolomics, and 16S plus metabolomics. Edges from individual networks were combined into a single network taking interactions from within a data set (e.g., protein to protein) from the networks inferred from single data sets (e.g., proteomics) and inter-data-set edges from the appropriate combined data sets (protein to metabolite edges from the proteomics-plus-metabolomics data set). Networks were represented in Cytoscape (59),
and annotations from the individual data types were used to highlight clusters of components enriched in particular labels as indicated in the figures.

Multiomics integration was done using SIMCA-P 13.0.3.0 (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). In order to study the three combined data sets, two different OPLS-DA models were built: the baseline diet to the HRS diet and the HRS diet to the LRS diet. For integration of all the different omics data sets, the samples were aligned in one matrix and were unit variance (UV) scaled. OPLS-DA loading plots were constructed to simultaneously visualize features of the genome, proteome, and metabolome impacted by baseline, LRS, or HRS diet. The loadings were extracted and visualized as loading plots using RStudio (version 0.99.489) (60).

Data availability. Sequencing data are available on Qiita (https://qiita.ucsd.edu/study/description/1191) and the EBI-ENA accession is ERP104494. Proteomics analysis data are available on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/record/836741).
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