Ixekizumab Efficacy and Safety with and without Concomitant Conventional Disease-modifying Antirheumatic Drugs (cDMARDs) in Biologic DMARD (bDMARD)-naive Patients with Active Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA): Results from SPIRIT-P1

Laura Coates
M Kishimoto
Alice Gottlieb
New York Medical College
C Shuler
C Lin

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://touroscholar.touro.edu/nymc_fac_pubs

Part of the Other Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons, and the Rheumatology Commons

Recommended Citation

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty at Touro Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in NYMC Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Touro Scholar. For more information, please contact jogrady@nymc.edu.
Authors
Laura Coates, M Kishimoto, Alice Gottlieb, C Shuler, C Lin, C Lee, and P Mease

This article is available at Touro Scholar: https://touroscholar.touro.edu/nymc_fac_pubs/536
Ixekizumab efficacy and safety with and without concomitant conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs) in biologic DMARD (bDMARD)-naïve patients with active psoriatic arthritis (PsA): results from SPIRIT-P1

Laura C Coates,1,2 Mitsumasa Kishimoto,3 Alice Gottlieb,4 Catherine L Shuler,5 Chen-Yen Lin,5 Chin Hyok Lee,5 Philip J Mease6

ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the efficacy and safety of ixekizumab alone or with concomitant conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs) versus placebo in patients with active psoriatic arthritis (PsA) as part of a SPIRIT-P1 subgroup analysis (NCT01695239).

Methods Patients were stratified by cDMARD use (concomitant cDMARDs use (including methotrexate) or none (past or naïve use)) and randomly assigned to treatment groups (ixekizumab 80 mg every 4 weeks (IXEQ4W) or every 2 weeks (IXEQ2W) or placebo). Efficacy was evaluated versus placebo at week 24 by the American College of Rheumatology criteria (ACR20/50/70), modified total Sharp score and Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI). Safety was assessed according to cDMARD status.

Results Regardless of concomitant cDMARD usage, ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 response rates were significantly higher versus placebo with IXEQ4W and IXEQ2W. The proportion of patients achieving HAQ-DI minimal clinically important difference was significantly higher versus placebo with IXEQ4W with concomitant cDMARD use and IXEQ2W, regardless of concomitant cDMARD use. Treatment-emergent adverse events (AE) were more frequent versus placebo for either ixekizumab-dosing regimen, regardless of concomitant cDMARD use. Serious AEs were not higher versus placebo, regardless of concomitant cDMARD use.

Conclusion Ixekizumab treatment improved measures of disease activity and physical function in patients with active PsA relative to placebo, when used with or without concomitant cDMARD therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory arthritis that is often associated with psoriasis and other extra-articular manifestations including joint inflammation (peripheral and/or axial) and structural joint damage.1 Quality of life in patients with PsA is poor and worse than the quality of life in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concomitant treatment</th>
<th>cDMARD</th>
<th>MTX</th>
<th>None (cDMARD-naïve or past use at baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBO, n=69</td>
<td>IXEQ4W, n=68</td>
<td>IXEQ2W, n=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>51.0 (12.5)</td>
<td>49.1 (10.0)</td>
<td>49.3 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (46.4)</td>
<td>29 (42.6)</td>
<td>27 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>84.8 (19.7)</td>
<td>83.5 (19.5)</td>
<td>81.0 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since PsA diagnosis (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.1 (7.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>7.4 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joint count (68 joints), mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.5 (12.8)</td>
<td>20.6 (13.3)</td>
<td>21.4 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen joint count (66 joints), mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.9 (7.7)</td>
<td>10.8 (7.4)</td>
<td>11.8 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ-DI total score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.60)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.54)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijde mTSS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.9 (32.2)</td>
<td>21.2 (36.9)</td>
<td>17.3 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was not designed to test equivalence or non-inferiority of treatment with ixekizumab alone versus treatment with ixekizumab combined with cDMARDs. cDMARD, conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; IXEQ2W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 2 weeks; IXEQ4W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 4 weeks; mTSS, modified total Sharp score; MTX, methotrexate; n, number of patients; PBO, placebo; PsA, psoriatic arthritis.
patients with psoriasis alone.\textsuperscript{2} Abundant evidence indicates that the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-17A promotes joint inflammation and damage in PsA.\textsuperscript{1} Ixekizumab is a high-affinity monoclonal antibody that selectively targets IL-17A and has been demonstrated to improve PsA disease activity and physical function, and inhibit structural progression of joint damage in biologics-naïve patients with active PsA.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Treatment of patients with PsA with biologics with concomitant conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs) is common, but no specific recommendations have been released by the Group for Research for Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) due to insufficient evidence.\textsuperscript{5} Prior studies of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi)\textsuperscript{6-14} and an IL-17A inhibitor,\textsuperscript{15,16} indicate that clinical efficacy is achieved with biologics alone or biologics with concomitant methotrexate (MTX), a commonly used cDMARD. In addition, PsA registry studies report minimal or no additional improvement in patients with PsA who have been treated with TNFis and concomitant cDMARDs versus TNFis alone.\textsuperscript{17-20} In the SPIRIT-P1 study, 64% of patients used cDMARDs at baseline.\textsuperscript{3} Here, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of ixekizumab relative to placebo when used alone or with concomitant cDMARDs during the double-blind treatment period of the SPIRIT-P1 study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

We analysed efficacy, physical function and safety data from the 24-week double-blind, active and placebo-controlled period of the SPIRIT-P1 trial of ixekizumab.\textsuperscript{3} At study initiation, patients were randomised, and received either ixekizumab 80 mg every 4 weeks (IXEQ4W), ixekizumab 80 mg every 2 weeks (IXEQ2W), adalimumab 40 mg every 2 weeks (ADA) or placebo, all administered subcutaneously. ADA was the active reference arm, but ADA results were not included in this report.\textsuperscript{3} In ixekizumab treatment groups, patients received an initial 160 mg dose of ixekizumab. Patients were stratified by cDMARD usage (current use (must have been treated for at least 12 weeks prior to baseline and on a stable dosage for at least 8 weeks), past use (no current cDMARD therapy at week 16).\textsuperscript{2} Participants were designated an inadequate responder and required rescue therapy at week 16.

**Participants**

Enrolled patients were biologic-naïve and fulfilled the Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis\textsuperscript{3} had ≥3 of 68 tender joints and ≥3 of 66 swollen joints; had either ≥1 PsA-related hand or foot erosion on centrally read X-rays or C reactive protein >6 mg/L; and active or documented personal history of plaque psoriasis. All patients provided written informed consent. The study was registered as SPIRIT-P1 on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01695239).

**Efficacy, physical function and safety assessments**

PsA disease activity was measured by the proportion of patients achieving the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) response rates at 24 weeks in patients treated with PBO, IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W alone or in combination with cDMARDs or MTX. The proportions of patients achieving ACR20 (A), ACR50 (B) and ACR70 (C) are shown. The study was not designed to test equivalence or non-inferiority of treatment with ixekizumab alone versus treatment with ixekizumab in combination with cDMARDs. ACR20/50/70, 20%/50%/70% American College of Rheumatology response; cDMARD, conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; PBO, placebo; IXEQ4W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 4 weeks; IXEQ2W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 2 weeks; n, number of patients; MTX, methotrexate. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all versus PBO.
Table 2  Structural disease progression at 24 weeks in patients treated with PBO, IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W alone or in combination with cDMARD or MTX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concomitant treatment</th>
<th>cDMARD</th>
<th>MTX</th>
<th>None (cDMARD-naive or past use at baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBO, n=58</td>
<td>IXEQ4W, n=63</td>
<td>IXEQ2W, n=60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTSS LSM change from baseline at week 24 (SE)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.100)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.096)*</td>
<td>0.11 (0.098)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was not designed to test equivalence or non-inferiority of treatment with ixekizumab alone versus treatment with ixekizumab with concomitant cDMARDs.

*P<0.05 versus PBO.

cDMARD, conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; IXEQ4W, 80mg ixekizumab once every 2 weeks; IXEQ4W, 80mg ixekizumab once every 4 weeks; LSM, least squares mean; mTSS, van der Heijde modified total Sharp score; MTX, methotrexate; n, number of patients; PBO, placebo.

Figure 2  HAQ-DI change from baseline and proportion of patients achieving MCID after 24 weeks in patients treated with PBO, IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W alone or in combination with cDMARDs or MTX. (A) LSM changes from baseline of HAQ-DI. (B) Proportion of patients achieving MCID. The study was not designed to test equivalence or non-inferiority of treatment with ixekizumab alone versus treatment with ixekizumab in combination with cDMARDs. cDMARD, conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; IXEQ2W, 80mg ixekizumab once every 2 weeks; IXEQ4W, 80mg ixekizumab once every 4 weeks; LSM, least squares mean; mTSS, van der Heijde modified total Sharp score; MTX, methotrexate; n, number of patients; PBO, placebo. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all versus PBO.

(ACR) 20, ACR50 and ACR70 responses at week 24; change from baseline in van der Heijde modified total Sharp score (mTSS) at week 24; change from baseline in the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI) at week 24; and also by calculation of the proportion of patients achieving minimal clinically important difference (MCID) in the HAQ-DI.24 25 Safety was assessed by the proportion of patients experiencing treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAE), serious adverse events (AE) and discontinuations due to AE.

Statistical analyses

Efficacy analyses were conducted on the intent-to-treat population, defined as all randomly assigned patients and on subgroups defined by concomitant cDMARD (MTX, ciclosporin, leflunomide or sulfasalazine) or MTX usage. Analysis subgroups were defined as those receiving ixekizumab with concomitant cDMARDs, ixekizumab with concomitant MTX or ixekizumab alone (either cDMARD-naive or past use). Subgroup analyses of ACR20 response rate and mTSS assessments in patients subdivided by concomitant cDMARD use were prespecified analyses, while all other analyses presented here were post-hoc analyses. Safety analyses were conducted on the safety population, defined as all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of study medication, and grouped by the defined analysis subgroups. Treatment by subgroup interaction was tested at the significance level of 0.10 using either a logistic regression model for categorical data or an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model for continuous data, as appropriate. Within each subgroup, Fisher’s exact test was used for treatment comparisons of categorical endpoints and AE data, and an ANCOVA model was used for comparisons of mTSS and HAQ-DI data. All comparisons were relative to the placebo group. Missing values were imputed by non-responder imputation for categorical endpoints, linear extrapolation for mTSS change from baseline and last observation carried forward for HAQ-DI data.

RESULTS

The SPIRIT-P1 patient population was previously described by Mease and colleagues.3 In the patient population treated with concomitant cDMARDs at baseline,
Overall, a numerically higher proportion of patients who received IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W experienced at least one TEAE when compared with patients receiving placebo, regardless of concomitant cDMARD or MTX use (table 3). In the groups with significantly higher frequencies of TEAEs reported, injection site reactions were the most frequently reported TEAEs (IXEQ4W+cDMARD: 11.8%, n=8; IXEQ2W+cDMARD: 12.7%, n=8), but this is consistent with previous SPIRIT-P1 reports for the overall treatment groups. Mild TEAEs were significantly higher versus placebo for IXEQ4W with concomitant cDMARD or MTX use. The incidence of moderate TEAEs, severe TEAEs, serious TEAEs and TEAEs leading to discontinuation was similar versus placebo across all treatment groups, regardless of concomitant cDMARD or MTX use.

**Table 3** Safety overview after 24 weeks according to concomitant cDMARD or MTX use at baseline, subdivided by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concomitant treatment</th>
<th>cDMARD</th>
<th>MTX</th>
<th>None (cDMARD-naive or past use at baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBO, n=69</td>
<td>IXEQ4W, n=68</td>
<td>IXEQ2W, n=63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment-emergent AE, n (%)</td>
<td>30 (43.5)</td>
<td>42 (61.8)*</td>
<td>40 (63.5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>15 (21.7)</td>
<td>28 (41.2)*</td>
<td>23 (36.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>13 (18.8)</td>
<td>13 (19.1)</td>
<td>14 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>3 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious AE, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>3 (4.4)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE leading to discontinuation, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was not designed to test equivalence or non-inferiority of treatment with ixekizumab alone versus treatment with ixekizumab combined with cDMARDs.

*P<0.05 versus PBO.

AE, adverse events; cDMARD, conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; IXEQ2W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 2 weeks; IXEQ4W, 80 mg ixekizumab once every 4 weeks; MTX, methotrexate; n, number of patients; PBO, placebo.

83.8%–85.5% of patients were treated with MTX. Patient demographics and characteristics were similar across subgroups (table 1). No interaction in treatment-by-cDMARD use was observed in the study (P>0.1). Patients treated with either IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W had significantly higher ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 response rates at 24 weeks compared with placebo, regardless of concomitant cDMARD use (figure 1A–C). IXEQ4W with concomitant MTX use had significantly higher ACR20 response rates and numerically higher, but not statistically significant, ACR50 and ACR70 response rates relative to placebo (figure 1). ACR response rates for IXEQ2W with concomitant MTX use were consistent with those reported relative to placebo for IXEQ2W with concomitant cDMARD use.

Progression of structural damage, as measured by change from baseline in mTSS at 24 weeks, was significantly less in patients treated with IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W with concomitant cDMARD or MTX use and IXEQ2W alone when compared with the placebo groups (table 2). In patients treated with IXEQ4W alone, change from baseline in mTSS at 24 weeks was numerically lower than placebo (0.25 vs 0.57, respectively), but not statistically significant.

Improvements in physical function, as assessed by HAQ-DI, showed that patients treated with either IXEQ4W or IXEQ2W had significantly greater improvement than placebo regardless of concomitant cDMARD or MTX use (figure 2A). The proportion of patients who reached a HAQ-DI MCID (improvement in HAQ-DI total score ≥0.35 from baseline) was significantly higher than placebo for IXEQ4W alone and IXEQ2W, regardless of concomitant cDMARD or MTX use (figure 2B). Although not statistically significant, treatment with IXEQ4W with concomitant cDMARDs or MTX use had a numerically higher proportion of patients who achieved HAQ-DI MCID relative to placebo.
the Danish biologics registry (DANBIO), which contained 764 patients with PsA, found that clinical improvements, as reflected by ACR20 responses, were associated with biologic treatment with concomitant MTX use, but not for other outcome measures, including ACR50 and ACR70.8 9 Furthermore, analyses of the Norwegian longitudinal observational study on disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (NOR-DMARD), which contained 440 patients with PsA, observed no significant difference in clinical outcomes with and without concomitant MTX use for ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70 responses.10

The findings of this study, with support from other RCTs, indicate that ixekizumab and other biologics are clinically effective in PsA, regardless of concomitant cDMARD or MTX use through 24 weeks of treatment. The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations include the use of TNFis with concomitant cDMARDs, but EULAR was unable to recommend IL-12/23 and IL-17 inhibitor use with concomitant cDMARDs due to a lack of published evidence.20 In contrast, GRAPPA noted in their 2015 treatment recommendations that based on available study results, concomitant therapy does not yield additional improvements beyond those achieved with biologics alone.21 Acknowledging these guidelines, we note that this study did not compare the efficacy of using an IL-17A antagonist alone with use with concomitant cDMARDs, but instead provides clinicians with additional insights on the utility of using an IL-17A antagonist, as represented by ixekizumab, either alone or with concomitant cDMARDs, including MTX, in biologic-naïve patients with active PsA.

These results suggest that efficacy is achieved with ixekizumab relative to placebo when given with or without concomitant cDMARDs, but it has limitations. First, these data are subset analyses of the SPIRIT-P1 trial, which was designed to compare treatments with the placebo group alone; thus patients were not randomised to receive or not receive concomitant cDMARDs.3 In addition, the stratification of patients by cDMARD status at baseline balanced these characteristics across treatment arms, but did not ensure balance of these characteristics within treatment arms. Hence, this study design does not allow for making robust comparisons between patients treated with and without concomitant cDMARDs. Despite these limitations, abundant evidence exists from RCTs for other PsA biologic therapies indicating that efficacy is achieved with or without concomitant cDMARD use. These studies are in alignment with our findings that ixekizumab is effective relative to placebo in PsA, regardless of cDMARD use. In addition, due to the short-term nature of the period in this study, the impact of concomitant therapy on long-term outcomes and duration of response was not investigated. Such an investigation would be informative but would need to be undertaken as part of a long-term registry study or RCT.

In summary, ixekizumab effectively reduced the severity of PsA symptoms, inhibited structural damage and improved physical function in patients relative to placebo, regardless of concomitant cDMARD use. The safety profile of ixekizumab with or without concomitant cDMARD use is consistent with previous reports in patients with PsA and psoriasis.22 23 24 25
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