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Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with cardiovascular disease and subclinical atherosclerosis

Rahul Sao, Wilbert S. Aronow

Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) refers to fatty infiltration of liver in the absence of significant alcohol intake, use of hepatotoxic medication, or hereditary disorders. It is a common cause of chronic liver disease with a worldwide estimated prevalence ranging from 6.3% to 33%. The NAFLD is considered a hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. Insulin resistance and increased oxidative stress are central to pathogenesis of NAFLD, and risk factors include metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, obesity, lack of physical activity, smoking, and high fat diet. NAFLD is associated with higher mortality as compared to the general population with cardiovascular disease being the most common cause of death. The NAFLD is associated with a higher prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis as evidenced by odds of higher coronary artery calcification, higher average and maximum carotid intima-media thickness. It is also associated with stiff arteries as evidenced by higher cardio-ankle vascular index and higher brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity. Increasing evidence has linked NAFLD with atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases. The NAFLD is associated with a higher prevalence of coronary artery disease (CAD), more severe CAD, poor coronary collateral development, and higher incidence of coronary events. The NAFLD is also associated with ischemic stroke. Studies have shown that the association between NAFLD and atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases is independent of shared risk factors.
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Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a common cause of chronic liver disease with increasing worldwide prevalence. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is defined by imaging or histological evidence of fatty infiltration of liver in the absence of known causes of fat accumulation in the liver including significant alcohol consumption [1]. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is a spectrum of disease ranging from simple steatosis (NAFL or non-alcoholic fatty liver) without evidence of hepatocellular injury to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) marked by inflammation with hepatocyte injury with or without fibrosis [1, 2]. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease may or may not be associated with aminotransferase elevation [1].

Due to widespread availability and low cost, ultrasound is the preferred initial radiological study to assess for fatty infiltration of liver [3, 4].
Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis represents more advanced stage of the disease and has an inflammatory component in addition to fat accumulation [1]. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis may further progress to cirrhosis and or to hepatocellular carcinoma [5]. Various non-invasive methods such as the NAFLD fibrosis score [6], Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) panel, presence of circulating biomarkers (cytokeratin-18) can identify advanced fibrosis [7]. However, liver biopsy is the ‘gold standard’ for assessing the histology in NAFLD and accurately identifying NASH. Metabolic syndrome predicts the presence of steatohepatitis in NAFLD patients and its presence should guide the decision to obtain liver biopsy [1].

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is sometimes referred to as the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome [8, 9]. It is associated with higher overall mortality as compared to the general population [10, 11]. Cardiovascular diseases are the most common cause of death among patients with NAFLD [9–11] while liver disease is the third leading cause [11, 12]. The aim of this paper is to review the available literature linking NAFLD and cardiovascular disease.

Because of shared risk factors with the metabolic syndrome, NAFLD was considered as a mere marker of cardiovascular disease. However, studies are available that show an association between cardiovascular disease and NAFLD independent of shared risk factors [13–16].

**Epidemiology**

The reported incidence of NAFLD varies widely. A retrospective analysis reported an annual incidence of 29 per 100,000 patient years [17]. Another prospective study in a Japanese population reported 308 new cases among 3147 patients during a 414 days follow-up period [18]. The wide variation in reporting indicates the need for a better study to calculate the actual incidence rates.

The prevalence of NAFLD has been increasing in recent times. The reported prevalence varies based on the population studied and modality used to establish the diagnosis. The worldwide prevalence in the general population ranges from 6.3% to 33% with a median of 20% [1]. The prevalence is much higher in a high-risk patient population. Machado et al combined 12 observational studies including 1620 patients with morbid obesity and reported a 91% prevalence (range: 85–98%) of NAFLD in this population group [19]. Two separate studies quoted a prevalence of ultrasonographic NAFLD in diabetic patients at 69.4% [20] and 67.8% [21]. Another study in patients referred to a urban hospital-based lipid clinic showed a NAFLD prevalence of 50% [22].

**Etiopathogenesis and risk factors**

Day and James explained the pathogenesis of NAFLD in 1998 by ‘two-hit hypothesis’ [23]. The first physiological event is insulin resistance. Insulin resistance manifests not only at the level of muscles but also at the level of liver and adipose tissue [24]. The combined effect of hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance leads to an increased absolute hepatic free fatty acid uptake and increased esterification to triglycerides among other mechanisms leading to hepatic steatosis [25]. It should be stressed that insulin resistance is a cause of NAFLD rather than being its effect as shown by studies in genetically predisposed NAFLD subjects who have insulin sensitivity comparable to matched subjects without NAFLD [26–28].

The second physiological event is increased oxidative stress [25, 29]. Oxidative stress plays a dual role by contributing to steatosis due to higher peroxidation of lipids and by promoting progression of steatosis to steatohepatitis. A study has shown a higher rate of lipid oxidation and impaired suppression of hepatic lipid oxidation by insulin in patients with NAFLD [24].

With better understanding of the pathogenesis of NAFLD, a ‘multiple parallel hits’ hypothesis has gained more acceptance [30, 31]. Insulin resistance and its metabolic disturbance constitute the first hit resulting to fat infiltration of liver. This is followed by multiple parallel hits, leading to hepatocyte injury and progression from simple steatosis to NASH and fibrosis [30].

Cytokines play an important role in insulin resistance and NAFLD [32]. Higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) are associated with increased insulin resistance [33]. Mice lacking TNF-α were shown to have improved insulin sensitivity [34]. Haukeland et al. demonstrated higher levels pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-6 (IL-6), chemokine ligand 2/monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (CCL-2/MCP-1), TNF-α and decreased level of adiponectin among patients with NAFLD compared to controls [35]. IL-6 expression is markedly increased in patients with NASH as compared to patients with NAFLD or normal liver histology [36]. Anti-inflammatory adipocytokine adiponectin levels are lower in patients with hepatic steatosis and NASH [37].

Obesity has a strong correlation with insulin resistance and NAFLD [38–40]. The prevalence of NAFLD among morbidly obese patients based on a meta-analysis was 91% (range: 85–98%) [19]. There is evidence that weight loss among obese patient with type II diabetes mellitus can normalize insulin sensitivity [41]. Another study showed that weight loss can prevent progression of glucose intolerance to type II diabetes mellitus [42].
Choudhary et al. prospectively studied 16 living related liver donors with significant steatosis based on liver histology. Donors were recommended 1200 Kcal/day diet and 60 min/day moderate cardiovascular training. Repeat liver biopsy done at 28 ±10 days showed a decrease in steatosis in all but one subject including normalization of the liver biopsy in 7 donors [43]. A review of the literature of bariatric surgery showed significant improvement and resolution of steatosis with bariatric surgery [44]. However, in patients with established hepatic fibrosis, there are conflicting data about the outcome of metabolic procedures. Some studies have shown that metabolic procedures are associated with worsening of fibrosis in patients with advanced fibrosis [45, 46]. However, a few including prospective studies have also shown improvement in fibrosis after a bariatric procedure [45, 47].

The use of exercise is a well-established prevention and therapeutic recommendation for NAFLD [48]. Increased physical activity not only plays a protective role against many risk factors of NAFLD such as obesity, metabolic syndrome, hyperlipidemia, and insulin resistance, but also has independent beneficial effects. In a cross-sectional study, physical activity was inversely proportional to the prevalence of NAFLD in a dose dependent manner that was independent of visceral obesity and insulin resistance [49]. Another cross-sectional analysis of non-diabetic Korean individuals showed that regular exercise was associated with a reduced risk of having NAFLD and decreased liver enzymes in patients with NAFLD [50]. Smoking has been implicated as a risk factor for NAFLD in several case control studies. Three separate studies in a Chinese population has established cigarette smoking as risk factors and its interaction with genetic polymorphisms of MCP-1 receptor CCR2 gene 190A/G (GG), NADPH oxidase subunit p22phox gene C242T [51], LEPR gene Gln223Arg, MnSOD9Ala/Val [52], AdipoR2 gene +33371Gln/Arg, and CYP2E1-Rsa I [53], increasing the risk even further. The odds of cases being smokers as compared to controls in these three studies were 3.3032 [51], 3.6754 [52], and 2.5919 [53].

High fat diet is a precursor for insulin resistance [54] and a risk factor for NAFLD. Wiedemann et al. showed induction of hepatic insulin resistance by 4 days of a high fat diet (52% fat calories) in mice [55]. Pan et al. have shown that more than 70% of mice that were a fed high fat diet (42% fat calories) developed NAFLD at 12 weeks [56].

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and subclinical atherosclerosis

Insulin resistance is the cornerstone of NAFLD pathogenesis and is closely associated with the metabolic syndrome. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, insulin resistance, and the metabolic syndrome share multiple risk factors. Some authors have referred to NAFLD as a hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease accelerates development and progression of atherosclerosis. However, disagreement exists whether NAFLD is a risk factor of atherosclerosis beyond its association with the metabolic syndrome [57]. Studies are available that show an association between NAFLD and subclinical atherosclerosis. Most of them prove this association is independent of the metabolic syndrome and traditional cardiovascular risk factors (Table I).

Coronary artery calcification (CAC) is a surrogate marker for atherosclerotic burden and an independent marker of coronary heart disease (CHD) risk [58]. Sung et al. analyzed data from a South Korean occupational cohort of 10,153 individuals who received an ultrasound of the abdomen for assessment of fatty liver and a cardiac computerized tomography (CT) computed CAC score. Fatty liver was associated with a CAC score > 0, independent of all metabolic syndrome features (OR = 1.21; 95% CI: 1.01–1.45) [59]. An evaluation of 505 non diabetic, asymptomatic men free of known CHD showed a positive correlation between hepatic steatosis diagnosed by ultrasound and CAC quantified by an electron beam tomography scan. The prevalence of CAC was higher among patients with hepatic steatosis (52% vs. 37%, p = 0.001). This association was statistically significant after adjustment for age, CHD risk factors, and liver enzymes [60].

Another study included 2424 participants from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adult Study [61]. This study used CT to quantify liver fat, CAC, and abdominal aortic calcification (AAC). Patients with NAFLD had an increased prevalence of CAC (37.9% vs. 26.0%; p < 0.001) and AAC (65.1% vs. 49.9%; p < 0.001). The association of NAFLD with CAC and AAC persisted after adjustment for demographics and health behaviors. However, this association did not reach statistical significance after adjustment for visceral adipose tissue [61]. A more recent study involved a cross-sectional analysis of 3796 participants in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study. This study showed that NAFLD is associated with increased inflammation and CAC independent of traditional risk factors, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome [62]. There was a graded association between NAFLD, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome with inflammation and CAC.

Measurement of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) using ultrasound is a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis. Carotid intima-media thickness is a strong predictor of future vascular...
Table I. Studies showing association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and subclinical atherosclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Vascular phenotype</th>
<th>OR (95% CI) or p-value</th>
<th>Results significant after regression analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santos</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>1.49 (1.00–2.21)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sung [59]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>10,153</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>1.21 (1.01–1.45)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanwagner</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2424</td>
<td>CAC, AAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>CAC: Yes, AAC: No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifai [62]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>3976</td>
<td>CAC, Inflammation (hsCRP)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.34–1.98)</td>
<td>hsCRP: 2.22 (1.85–2.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thakur</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>Average CIMT: 4.8 (1.8–12.8), Maximum CIMT: 5.4 (2.0–14.4), FMD: 11.7 (1.4–96.5)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targher</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>CIMT values: 1.10 ±0.20 vs. 0.84 ±0.13 (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang [66]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>8632</td>
<td>CIMT, baPWV</td>
<td>CIMT: 1.48 (1.17–1.86), baPWV: 1.50 (1.29–1.75)</td>
<td>CIMT: Yes, baPWV: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim [70]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>2.32 (1.65–3.27)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang [69]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>ALT (every 10 IU/l increment) 1.44 (1.09–1.89)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarwal [68]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>Mean CIMT 0.71 ±0.19 mm vs. 0.67 ±0.22, p = 0.213</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang [67]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>1.98 (1.44–2.73)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampuero</td>
<td>2009–2012</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>2.04 (1.65–2.51)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu [79]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>baPWV</td>
<td>1321 ±158 cm/s vs. 1244 ±154 cm/s, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li [78]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>baPWV</td>
<td>Significant both with and without presence of metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung [77]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2954</td>
<td>CAVI</td>
<td>Mild NAFLD: 1.27 (1.02–1.57), Moderate-severe NAFLD: 1.78 (1.37–2.31)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with cardiovascular disease and subclinical atherosclerosis

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease

Multiple epidemiological studies have linked NAFLD to increased cardiovascular disease risk [80, 81] (Table II). A prospective observational study included 1637 apparently healthy Japanese men and women followed for a period of 5 years [15]. Among 1221 participants available for outcome analysis, the incidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CHD, ischemic stroke, and cerebral hemorrhage) was higher in subjects with NAFLD at baseline than those without NAFLD. Logistic regression analyses indicated that NAFLD was a predictor of cardiovascular disease independent of conventional risk factors (OR = 4.12; 95% CI: 1.58–10.75; p = 0.004) [15].

A large prospective cohort study in a Chinese university hospital recruited 612 consecutive patients undergoing coronary angiography [16]. Coronary artery disease was present in 84.6% of the patients with NAFLD versus 64.1% without NAFLD. The association was statistically significant after adjusting for demographics and the metabolic syndrome (OR = 2.31; 95% CI: 1.46–3.64). During 87-week follow-up NAFLD did not predict cardiovascular mortality or morbidity [16]. The association of NAFLD and CAD was investigated in type 2 diabetic patients. A total of 273 diabetic patients without liver disease undergoing coronary CT angiography were enrolled [82]. Coronary artery disease was defined by the presence of coronary plaques, and significant CAD was defined as the presence of ≥50% stenosis in at least one coronary artery. No association was found between NAFLD and CAD. However, after adjustment for age, gender, obesity, hypertension, smoking status and serum low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol as coronary risk factors, NAFLD was...
A prospective study in 80 patients with the metabolic syndrome undergoing coronary angiography evaluated the association between NAFLD and CAD severity [83]. Coronary angiography showed involvement of more vessels (2.5 ±0.9 vs. 1.0 ±1.0; p < 0.001) and more severe CAD severity scores (Gensini scores, 90.2 ±40.0 vs. 36.4 ±28.9; p < 0.001) among patients with NAFLD. In multivariate regression analysis, NAFLD was the only independent factor affecting CAD severity score.

Table II. Studies showing association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with coronary artery disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Risk estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamaguchi [15]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Prospective observational study</td>
<td>1637 (1221 available for analysis)</td>
<td>1. Higher incidence of ASCVD in NAFLD subjects 2. NAFLD was a predictor of ASCVD independent of conventional risk factors</td>
<td>OR = 4.12; 95% CI: 1.58–10.75; p = 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong [16]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>1. CAD was more common in patients with NAFLD 2. NAFLD is associated with CAD independent of other metabolic factors</td>
<td>OR = 2.31; 95% CI: 1.46–3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idilman [82]</td>
<td>2007–2010</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-sectional retrospective study</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>NAFLD was associated with significant CAD (defined as ≥ 50% stenosis, at least in one coronary artery) in type 2 diabetics</td>
<td>OR = 2.128; 95% CI: 1.035–4.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alper [83]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1. More vessels involved among patients with NAFLD as compared to patients without NAFLD 2. NAFLD is associated with more severe CAD</td>
<td>Number of vessels (2.5 ±0.9 vs. 1.0 ±1.0; p &lt; 0.001). CAD severity scores (90.2 ±40.0 vs. 36.4 ±28.9; p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acikel [84]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>NAFLD has an independent effect on CAD and Gensini score</td>
<td>CAD (OR = 2.58; p &lt; 0.01) Gensini Score (OR = 2.02; p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun [85]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>1. NAFLD independently increased the risk for CAD 2. NAFLD was significantly more common in patients as CAD severity increased</td>
<td>OR = 7.585; 95% CI: 4.617–12.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arslan [88]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1. NAFLD was more prevalent in patients with poor coronary collateral circulation 2. Mean Rentrop collateral score was significantly lower in patients with NAFLD</td>
<td>1. 82.9% vs. 49.4%; p &lt; 0.001 2. 1.2 ±1.2 vs. 2.1 ±0.9; p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targher [14]</td>
<td>2000–2005</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Prospective nested case-control study</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>NAFLD was significantly associated with increased cardiovascular disease among type 2 diabetics independent of traditional risk factors and the metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>OR = 1.84; 95% CI: 1.4–2.1; p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisto [13]</td>
<td>1991–2009</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Population based randomly recruited cohort</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>Severe liver fat content predicted the risk of future cardiovascular events after adjustment for age, gender, and study group</td>
<td>HR = 1.92; 95% CI: 1.32–2.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASCVD – atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, NAFLD – non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, CAD – coronary artery disease.
NAFLD has independent effects on CAD (OR = 2.58; p < 0.01) and also independently affects Gensini score (OR = 2.02; p < 0.05) [84]. Sun et al. enrolled 542 patients planned to undergo coronary angiography [85]. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) was performed before coronary angiography to detect NAFLD. Logistic regression analysis showed that the presence of NAFLD independently increased the risk of CAD seen on coronary angiography (OR = 7.585; 95% CI: 4.617–12.461). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease was seen significantly more common in patients as the CAD severity increased [85].

Coronary collateral development has been shown to improve survival in patients with CAD [86]. The presence of the metabolic syndrome and associated insulin resistance have been shown to be associated with poor collateral development [87]. Arslan et al. enrolled 151 consecutive non-diabetic patients with stable angina pectoris with more than 95% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery [76]. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease was more prevalent in patients with poor collateral development (82.9% vs. 49.4%; p < 0.001). The mean Rentrop collateral score was significantly lower in patients with NAFLD (1.2 ±1.2 vs. 2.1 ±0.9; p < 0.001). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease was significantly related to poor circulation (OR = 6.20; 2.61–14.75) after logistic regression analysis was performed using factors associated with poor collateral development [88].

Targher et al. carried out a prospective-nested case-control study in 2103 type 2 diabetics free of cardiovascular disease at baseline [14]. During a 5-year follow-up, 248 participants subsequently developed nonfatal cardiac event, ischemic stroke or cardiovascular death. Four hundred ninety-six subjects that remained free of diagnosed cardiovascular disease were selected as controls. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease was significantly associated with an increased atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk (OR = 1.84; 95% CI: 1.4–2.1; p < 0.001) after adjustment for age, sex, smoking history, diabetes duration, hemoglobin A1c, LDL cholesterol, liver enzymes, and use of medications. The risk was attenuated but stayed statistically significant after adjustment for the metabolic syndrome [14]. Pisto et al. followed 988 Finnish participants from 1991–2009. Patients were divided in three groups based on liver fat content. During the follow-up period, 13.5% of participants with non-fatty liver, 24.2% with moderate liver fat content, and 29.2% with severe fatty liver experienced a cardiovascular event (p < 0.001). Severe liver fat content predicted the risk of future cardiovascular event when adjusted for age, gender, and study group (HR = 1.92; 95% CI: 1.32–2.80). The risk remained statistically significant (HR = 1.74, 95% CI: 1.16–2.63) after further adjustment for smoking, alcohol consumption, LDL cholesterol, body mass index, and systolic blood pressure, but disappeared after further adjustment for the QUICKI (Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index) [13].

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with a higher average CIMT, maximum CIMT and presence of pathological CIMT [64, 65, 71]. Carotid intima-media thickness predicts the risk of future atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease including stroke [63]. However, there are inconclusive data linking NAFLD with stroke. A cross-sectional study studied the association of acute ischemic stroke with biochemical markers of NAFLD [89]. Elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT), ≥ 95th percentile was used as the criterion for the biochemical presence of inflammatory NAFLD. The odds ratio for stroke in patients with an elevated ALT was 3.5 (95% CI: 1.7–7.6) and it was 3.3 (95% CI: 1.3–8.4) after adjustment for age, sex, current smoking, current heavy alcohol intake, history of hypertension, atrial fibrillation, LDL cholesterol, serum glucose, and serum creatinine [89]. Another case control study included 110 brain magnetic resonance imaging confirmed ischemic stroke patients and 110 age-and sex-matched controls [90]. Liver ultrasonography was performed to detect NAFLD. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease was found in 47 (42.7%) of ischemic stroke patients and 25 (22.7%) of controls. After adjusting for age and sex, NAFLD was significantly associated with ischemic stroke (OR = 2.15; 95% CI: 1.25–3.71). However, the association lost statistical significance after adjusting for confounding risk factors including body mass index, waist circumference, smoking, and diabetes mellitus [90].

Treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Treatment of NAFLD risk factors is the first line treatment strategy for NAFLD. Various lifestyle modifications have shown benefit in NAFLD. These include weight reduction [91, 92], reduced calorie intake, exercise alone even without weight loss [48–50]. A weight reduction achieved by bariatric procedures has also shown significant benefit in NAFLD [44–46].

Several studies have studied the effectiveness of metformin in NAFLD [93]. The results have been inconsistent with some studies showing benefit [94, 95], while others not showing any significant benefit [96, 97]. Based on available evidence, current guidelines do not recommend metformin as a specific treatment for liver disease in patients with NAFLD [1].
Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) increase hepatic lipogenesis and insulin sensitivity by activating peroxisomal proliferator activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) [98]. Among TZDs, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone have been studied the most. In a randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial, rosiglitazone improved steatosis and transaminase level despite weight gain at the end of 1 year [99]. Longer therapy did not show additional improvement in steatosis despite a maintained effect on insulin sensitivity and transaminase levels [100]. Pioglitazone also showed significant improvement in fibrosis and hepatocellular injury after 12 months of treatment [101]. The results of another larger study did not reach statistical significance [102]. Overall evidence favors use of TZDs; however, caution should be taken in view of their cardiovascular adverse effects.

Liraglutide is a long-acting human glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analog. Apart from glucose-reducing effects, liraglutide is associated with decreased level of pro-inflammatory cytokines [103]. In a phase 2 clinical trial, liraglutide use was associated with greater odds of resolution of NASH (relative risk 4.3; 95% CI: 1.0–17.7; p = 0.019) [104]. Another study involving 8 months of liraglutide use in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and NAFLD has shown significantly reduced CIMT, a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis [105]. This effect was independent of glycometabolic changes. Further studies are needed to validate these findings and to advocate use of liraglutide in NAFLD.

Among lipid lowering drugs, statins and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have shown benefit in NAFLD. In a cross sectional study of 2578 patients undergoing ultrasound, statin use of more than 2 years duration and body mass index more than 27.5 kg/m² was associated with lower prevalence of steatosis (OR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.11–0.81) [106]. Clinical studies with atorvastatin have shown improvement in steatosis [107] and delayed progression of NAFL to NASH [108]. The current guidelines allow use of statins to treat dyslipidemia in patients with NAFLD but do not recommend their use to specifically treat NAFLD [1]. N-3 PUFA (omega-3 fatty acids) have also shown promising results in patients with NAFLD [109]. Based on current evidence, they are not recommended to specifically treat NAFLD, but may be considered as first line agents to treat hypertriglyceridemia in patients with NAAFLD [1].

Oxidative stress is one of the important hits in NAFLD pathogenesis. Anti-oxidant property of Vitamin E has been studied as a possible therapeutic intervention with some success [102]. It is recommended as a first-line pharmacotherapy for biopsy-proven NASH in non-diabetic patients [1]. Other potential treatments include N-acetyl cysteine, vitamin D, ursodeoxycholic acid and pentoxifylline [110]. Further studies are needed to assess the therapeutic effects of these agents in NAFLD.

Conclusions

The majority of the studies discussed indicate that NAFLD is associated with cardiovascular disease. NAFLD affects multiple aspects of cardiovascular diseases. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with subclinical atherosclerosis and arterial stiffness. The popular notion used to be that this association is due to shared risk factors such as obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus among others. However, multiple studies have proved this association to be independent of the metabolic syndrome and traditional cardiovascular risk factors. These studies used CAC and CIMT as markers of subclinical atherosclerosis while CIMT and baPWV were used as markers of arterial stiffness (Table I). One of the prospective studies also showed that NAFLD independently and significantly predicted changes in baPWV [78].

Additionally the study by Chung et al. also showed association between NAFLD and subclinical atherosclerosis in NAFLD severity-dependent manner [77]. Multivariate analysis showed a severity-dependent relationship between NAFLD and arterial stiffness (moderate-severe NAFLD: OR = 1.97, 95% CI: 1.28–3.01, p for trend = 0.002) in age group less than 55 years [77]. A study evaluating lipid profile among patients with NAFLD showed that NASH patients had lower levels of larger LDL1 and increased levels of small dense LDL3 and LDL4 compared to patients with simple steatosis [111]. This deranged lipid profile may partly explain increased risk of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease in patients with NASH compared to NAFL patients [112].

Epidemiological data exist to link CAD directly to NAFLD. These studies have shown a higher incidence of CAD, a higher prevalence of CAD, greater severity of CAD, a higher risk of future cardiovascular event, and increased atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease among subjects with NAFLD as compared to subjects without NAFLD (Table II). Most of these studies were adjusted for the presence of conventional risk factors shared by NAFLD and CAD. Physicians treating NAFLD should be cognizant of these associations and should actively look out for symptoms of CAD in this population, facilitating early therapeutic interventions.

Arslan et al. showed that NAFLD was associated with poor coronary collateral development [88]. Insulin resistance [59, 80], oxidative stress [9], worsening inflammatory state [9, 62], and endothelial dysfunction [113] have all been implicated in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease in
NAFLD. The current understanding is that insulin resistance is responsible for resistance to the antilipolytic effect of insulin and combined with visceral and pathological ectopic fat accumulation of NAFLD lead to an increased availability of free fatty acids [80]. The increased availability of free fatty with ongoing chronic subclinical inflammation, increased oxidative stress, and endothelial dysfunction promotes atherosclerosis and a dysfunctional physiological state with poor cardiovascular outcomes [80, 81]. Even though strong functional physiological state with poor cardiodynamic promotes atherosclerosis and a dysfunction, increased oxidative stress, and endothelial fatty with ongoing chronic subclinical inflammation of NAFLD lead to an increased availability of free visceral and pathological ectopic fat accumulation antilipolytic effect of insulin and combined with insulin resistance is responsible for resistance to the NAFLD. The current understanding is that insulin resistance of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with subclinical atherosclerosis and atherosclerotic vascular disease, our knowledge of the biochemical pathways contributing to this association is incomplete. More studies are needed to understand the underlying biochemical pathways and markers responsible for above findings which could lead to development of needed therapeutic interventions.
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